Prince George Registry No. 15870 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA 3 Prince George, B.C. May 10, 1991 5 BETWEEN: LYLE EDWARD BUTLER 7 REASONS FOR JUDGMENT PLAINTIFF 8 OF THE HONOURABLE AND: JAMES SALES MR. JUSTICE CURTIS 10 DEFENDANT 11 D. BYL, Esq. appearing for the Plaintiff 12 appearing for the Defendant MS. P. SCHMIT, 13 14

THE COURT: (Oral) Lyle Edward Butler suffered three fractures of his left cheek-bone and a fracture of his left jaw-bone when James Sales punched him in the face on the 20th of November, 1988, at the Quesnel Civic Arena. Mr. Butler seeks compensation for his injuries, his loss of wages resulting therefrom, and punitive damages.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The defence to this claim is that James Sales was at the time using no more force than was reasonably necessary in the circumstances to defend himself from Mr. Butler. In the alternative, it is argued that if damages are to be awarded to Mr. Butler, they should be reduced by reason of the fact that Mr. Butler provoked Mr. Sales into punching

him.

On the 20th of November, 1988, Mr. Butler, who was then 47 years of age, was in the Quesnel Civic Arena as the manager of the Prince George Fire-fighters Midget Rep hockey team. Mr. Sales, who was at that time 25, was at the arena to play a commercial hockey league game which was to follow the midget game.

Shortly before the incident in question, the Prince George midget hockey team was just about to finish a game against the Quesnel team. In the last minutes of play, a fight started between Darryl Kevis, a Prince George player, and Dustin Sales, a Quesnel player, who is the cousin of James Sales. James Sales climbed up on the boards to shout over the plexiglass. He says that he was shouting at the referees to stop the fight, not at the combatants. Other witnesses say he was yelling at Darryl Kevis, "Take your helmet off, you wimp", or words to that effect. All the evidence indicates that Mr. Sales was quite upset and agitated at the time.

Immediately thereafter, Mr. Sales walked down the boards toward the Prince George bench, and upon entering the hallway behind the bench, encountered Darryl Kevis, the 15 year old who had just been in the fight with his cousin.

Mr. Sales gave evidence that he did not expect to meet
Kevis there, and was simply going to get his equipment for
the next game. He said he did not even know where Kevis was
at the time. This, however, is improbable, as he was

walking down the edge of the rink with a clear view of the Prince George bench, where he could not help but see Kevis coming off the ice and going into the hallway.

James Sales says that in the process of walking by
Kevis, he said, "Why didn't you take your helmet off, you
wimp?", or something like that, and was simply proceeding
on by him. Mr. Kevis says that Sales grabbed his hockey
jersey near his chest and confronted him with this
accusation. According to Mr. Butler, he saw Mr. Sales
grabbing Kevis by the chest, and sensing trouble, pushed his
way between them.

At this point, there was a confrontation between
Butler and Sales. Mr. Butler testified he told Mr. Sales
to get out of there, that he had no business being there,
and pushed Mr. Sales twice with his open hands. Mr. Butler
says that the second time he pushed Mr. Sales, one hand
slipped off Sales' shoulder and actually accidentally struck
Mr. Sales in the face. Mr. Sales in his evidence
essentially agreed with this version, but characterized
Mr. Butler striking his face as a slap. He said Mr. Butler
pushed him once with two hands against his chest and stepped
forward and pushed him backward again, this time striking
his face and causing him to lose his balance.

As to what happened next, Mr. Sales' evidence was, and I quote, "I just sort of panicked and that's when I threw my punch." Mr. Sales agreed that Mr. Butler never clenched his fists; his hands were always open.

There is no suggestion that there was any agreement to fight. Mr. Sales somewhat reluctantly admitted he knew Mr. Butler was there to protect his player, Darryl Kevis. He also knew that all that was required of him was that he leave the area, and that to do so, all he had to do was continue on the way in which he said he was intending to go.

Cross-examination of Mr. Sales revealed numerous significant inconsistencies between the evidence he gave in court, a statement he made to the police shortly after the incident, the instructions he gave to his counsel in order to draw an affidavit to set aside a default judgment in this matter, and the evidence he gave on his Examination for Discovery.

It is my conclusion from the evidence given in this trial and my observation of James Sales as a witness that he does not wish to remember the nature of his motivation and his actions at the time this incident occurred. This is illustrated by many details of his evidence.

Some examples are his suggestion that he was not sure or could not remember if his punch struck Mr. Butler. In cross-examination, he admitted he put all the power he had into this punch. How he could have failed to know that he had struck the man, I cannot understand.

Another example is his answer at question 37 of the Examination for Discovery, when he said, concerning what was said to Darryl Kevis, "I just said something to the effect", then paused and said, "I can't even remember." He remembers

clearly enough now what he said, and I am sure he remembered at the time of the discovery.

Likewise his explanation that he mixed up the order of events in giving what he was attempting to suggest was an unimportant statement to the police is unconvincing.

The evidence satisfies me that Mr. Sales, on observing the fight with his cousin, decided to confront Mr. Kevis on his way to the dressing-room, and when Mr. Butler intervened, he re-directed his aggression toward him.

Mr. Butler was simply doing his job, and Mr. Sales, who could easily have complied with a reasonable request to leave, because he was angry, punched Mr. Butler as hard as he could. The punch was wholly uncalled for in the circumstances, and the force thereof far exceeded anything reasonably required to protect Mr. Sales' physical integrity.

As a result of Mr. Sales' blow, Lyle Butler suffered three fractures of his left cheek-bone and a fracture of his left jaw-bone. Although the severity of the injury was not immediately diagnosed and Mr. Butler worked for two days after the incident, surgical repair was necessary. Mr. Butler was admitted to the Prince George Regional Hospital for six days, where a rubber packing was placed between his cheek-bone and his skull to reposition his facial bones. His jaw was wired shut with arch bars which were removed in the first week of January. It took about a week after the bars were removed for him to recover full use of his jaw.

I accept his evidence that he was unable to work as a line truck driver for Rempel Trail hauling petroleum products while his jaw was wired shut because he could not be alone and risk suffocation from vomiting. The pain was not severe unless he coughed or sneezed, but unquestionably, the injury caused him great inconvenience until he recovered.

His recovery was essentially fully complete after two months following his injury, with the exception of a little numbness in his lower lip. Fortunately as a result of the skill of the attending surgeon, Mr. Butler has not been left with any resulting scarring or disfiguration.

Considering these factors, I assess the value of general damages as at the date of the injury to be \$10,000. I consider Mr. Butler's injury to be less severe than that of Mr. Houston in the case cited by the plaintiff, because Mr. Houston had over \$3,000 worth of dental repairs and the related inconvenience and other problems.

I find no provocation in the actions of Mr. Butler which warrants a reduction of this award of damages.

Mr. Butler was off work for five weeks. I find \$4,500 to be a conservative and reasonable estimate of his wage loss during that time.

A claim is made for punitive damages, that is damages which go beyond the sum necessary to compensate Mr. Butler for his loss, on the basis of the outrageous nature of the defendant's conduct. Punitive damages are awarded only in

 unusual cases where the conduct of the wrongdoer has features which warrant a further award against him in the form of punishment.

On the evidence in this case, I find that while Mr. Sales' action was unwarranted, it is not established that he went to the hallway with a definite plan to punch Mr. Butler or anyone else. Mr. Sales, because of his anger, made a bad decision in an already tense situation, but for that, he is now called upon to pay a substantial amount in compensatory damages. It would not, in my opinion, be appropriate to call upon him to pay more than the compensatory damages.

In summary, the plaintiff shall recover \$10,000 for general damages, \$4,500 for loss of wages. This award will bear interest at the rate allowed by Registrars from time to time on default judgments from the date of the incident on the general damages, and calculated according to the Court Order Interest Act on the wage loss.

The plaintiff shall recover the costs of his action on Scale 3, unless counsel have any submission on the issue of costs.

MR. BYL: No, My Lord.

MS. SCHMIT: No.